

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/639,948	08/17/2000	Ned Hoffman	STA-25	4850
20575 75	590 04/09/2003			
MARGER JOHNSON & MCCOLLOM PC			EXAMINER	
1030 SW MORRISON STREET PORTLAND, OR 97205			BACKER, FIRMIN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3621	
			DATE MAILED: 04/09/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N .	Applicant(s)				
•'	09/639,948	HOFFMAN, NED				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Firmin Backer	3621				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	rrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply v - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period wil - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, of - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days I apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONED	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 Ja	nuary 2003 .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(a) 1 63 is/ore pending in the application						
4) Claim(s) 1-63 is/are pending in the application.	n from consideration					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawi 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	n from consideration.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-63</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	alastian requirement					
Application Papers	election requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	_					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept	•					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· ·				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed oni		ved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 15.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	itilitet.					
	priority under 25 H.C.C. \$ 440(a)	(d) (f)				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	I-(a) or (t).				
<u> </u>	hove been received					
_		an Na				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorit application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
 a) The translation of the foreign language prov 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/639,948

Art Unit: 3621

Response to Request for Reconsideration

This is in response to a request for reconsideration file January 31st, 2003. Claims 1-63 are being reconsidered in this action.

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-63 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: Applicant discloses "the person" in line 11. Applicant is advised to replace "the" by "a" in order to overcome examiner's objection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 09/639,948

Art Unit: 3621

- 4. Claims 1-63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Drexler (U.S. Patent 5,457,747).
- 5. As per claim 1, Drexler et al. teach a method for tokenless biometric authorization of an electronic communication, using a biometric sample, a master electronic identicator, and a public communications network, wherein said method comprises an electronic communication formation step, wherein at least one communication comprising electronic data is formed, a user registration step, wherein a user electronically submits a registration biometric sample taken directly from the person of the user, a public network data transmittal step, wherein the registration biometric sample is electronically transmitted to a master electronic identicator via a public communications network, said master electronic identicator comprising a computer database which electronically stores all of the registration biometric samples from all of the registered users, a user registration biometric storage step, wherein the registration biometric sample is electronically stored within the master electronic identicator, a bid biometric transmittal step, wherein a bid biometric sample, taken directly from the person of the user, is electronically transmitted to at least one electronic identicator, a user identification step, wherein an electronic identicator compares the bid biometric sample to at least one registration biometric sample previously stored in an electronic identicator, for producing either a successful or failed identification of the user, an electronic communication authorization step, wherein upon a successful identification of the user by an electronic identicator, at least one electronic communication is authorized for execution, wherein an electronic communication is biometrically-authorized without the user having to present any personalized man-made memory

Application/Control Number: 09/639,948

Art Unit: 3621

tokens such as smartcards, or magnetic stripe cards (see abstract, fig 1, 3, column 2 lines 30-3 line 36, 4 line 61-5 line 41).

- 6. As per claim 32, Drexler et al. teach a system for tokenless biometric authorization of an electronic communication, using an electronic communication input apparatus, a biometric input apparatus, and a master electronic identicator, wherein said system comprises a communication input apparatus, further comprising a data entry device for formation of an electronic communication, a biometric input apparatus, further comprising a device for electronically scanning a biometric sample directly from the person of a user, at least one master electronic identicator, further comprising a computer database containing all of the electronically stored biometric samples from all of the registered users, a comparator that electronically compares received a biometric sample with previously stored biometric samples to deliver either a successful or failed identification of the user, a data transmittal public network that electronically transmits data between the biometric input apparatus and a master electronic identicator, an electronic communication authorization platform that authorizes execution of at least one electronic communication upon a successful identification of the user by an electronic identicator, wherein an electronic communication is biometrically-authorized without the user having to present any personalized man-made memory tokens such as smartcards, or magnetic stripe cards(see abstract, fig 1, 3, column 2 lines 30-3 line 36, 4 line 61-5 line 41).
- 7. As per claims 2-31 and 33-63, they disclose the same inventive concept as claim 1 and 32, therefore, they are rejected under the same rationale.

Art Unit: 3621

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. (see form 892).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Firmin Backer whose telephone number is (703) 305-0624. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu 8:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on (703) 305-9768. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7687 for regular communications and (703) 305-7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Firmin Backer

April 2, 2003